

ARGENTINIAN SEA

SEISMIC-WELL DATABASE AND GEOLOGICAL-GEOPHYSICAL REPORT



2020



Contents

| I. | INT | RODUCTION | 3 |
|------|------------|--|----|
| II. | 2D 9 | SEISMIC | 5 |
| i. | I | nput Data | 6 |
| | 1. | Seismic Information | 6 |
| | 2. | Coordinates | 7 |
| | 3. Film | Shortcomings on remastered SEG-Ys and Seiscenter's Image Database from ns/Paper copies and Observer Reports | 8 |
| ii. | F | Processing Sequence (post-stack) 1 | 0 |
| | 1. | Classification | .1 |
| | 2. | Merge1 | .3 |
| | 3. | Water-bottom multiple attenuation1 | .3 |
| | 4. | Deconvolution1 | .4 |
| | 5. | Horizontal Interpolation1 | .4 |
| | 6. | FK filtering1 | .4 |
| | 7. | Timelines attenuation1 | .4 |
| | 8. | Post-stack Migration 1 | .5 |
| | 9. | Final filtering – Spectral Balance – Mute 1 | .5 |
| | 10. | Coordinates: calculation and validation1 | .6 |
| | 11. | Shapefiles1 | .7 |
| | Pro | cessing sequence overview | 20 |
| iii | . I | mages and observer reports2 | 21 |
| III. | 3D 9 | SEISMIC2 | 23 |
| i. | I | nput Data | 23 |
| | 1. | Sort - Classification | 23 |
| | 2. | Shapefiles2 | 24 |
| IV. | WE | LL DATA2 | 25 |
| i. | I | nput Data | 25 |
| | 1. | Sort – Classification | 25 |
| | 2. | Shapefiles2 | 26 |
| V. | GEC | LOGICAL-GEOPHYSICAL REPORT2 | 27 |
| VI. | DAT | TA STRUCTURE | 81 |



I. INTRODUCTION

Seiscenter -www.seiscenter.com.ar-, a Buenos Aires based company providing geophysical services to the oil industry, with more than 20 years in the market and subsidiaries in South America, is pleased to offer its Argentine Sea seismic-geophysical database, which includes well data and a detailed geological-geophysical report.

It follows the first round of oil and gas leases on the Argentinian Sea which was held in 2019, meanwhile a second round is expected in the course of 2020. The Argentinian government trusts that better information will grant a successful leasing process, taking in account that almost 20pc of the Argentinian oil & gas resources come from its offshore basins (see Fig. J, Argentinian offshore basins).

Seiscenter believes its data collection is unparalleled in the market. Beyond the 3Ds (11200 km² are made available out of a total of 14100 km²), we have compiled more than 3100 2D lines and almost 500 wells classified by their outcomes (65% of them with logs and additional data). See Fig. H (2D lines by basin), Fig. M (3D cubes) and Fig. N (well locations) The fundamental reason supporting this result and its uniqueness is that we have not limited ourselves to the already available official government data. Not only our data include the official version -extensively improved upon- but we have resorted to:

- Files of oil companies currently operating in Argentina
- Files of companies no longer operating in the country
- Private consultants who have preserved information not otherwise available
- Other geophysical services companies
- Interviews with geophysicists and surveyors who worked on the original surveys
- Our own files which are the outcome of several projects developed during ten years for different offshore basins

From all these sources we obtained an impressive amount of data, including stacks in SEG-Y, invaluable base maps, more than 1800 images from old films/hard copies, bathymetry, observer reports and well data. None of this is available in the country's official database.



Upon further examination we found that an important portion of the official data has too many pitfalls to be reliable, mainly in its coordinates, then in its quality and finally in the way they were sorted, standardized and named. It should be noted that older SEG-Y data come chiefly from rasterized films/hard copies, where the SP numbering and coordinates were frequently omitted or were directly wrong. We verified many inconsistencies by checking the official data in SEG-Y against the original images, base maps and bathymetries.

In front of this large set of data, we discussed and decided our policy towards the database, we thought the best value was to focus on improving -both in metadata and imaging through post-stack processing- the older information, nominally acquired and processed on the interval 1965-1995, plus all other data on which we detected inconsistencies. If an inconsistency was checked, its entire survey was verified. Modern information, with more reliable coordinates, better processing and quality, was checked in general and its SEG-Y coordinates and headers were standardized. 3Ds, which by our policy are recent, were compiled, its accessibility verified and in some cases clarified, but the seismic data and original coordinates remain unaffected.

The whole work was driven by the geological framework and keeping in mind a robust interpretation, poor quality lines were dismissed when better ones or 3Ds were available and their data overlapped, special attempts were devoted to improve or recover lines deemed to be of strategic relevance.

This project is accompanied and supported by an exclusive and up-to-date description of the geological-geophysical context, petroleum systems, reservoirs, source rocks, traps, seals, main fault trends and known plays. It is a special insight regarding the Argentinian Offshore of more of 140 pages of detailed information, basin by basin. Exploration history, well results, recommendations and remaining potential. See Fig. O (table of contents) and Fig. P (excerpts)

This was an important effort, we are satisfied to offer its results and to know that they can contribute, by quantity and quality, to a better study and development of the energy resources of the Argentine Sea.



II. 2D SEISMIC



The project was carried out on a total of 3121 2D lines, more than 19.5 million traces, amounting to 224727 km which distribute per basin:

| BASIN | LINES | KM |
|--------------------|-------|---------|
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | 156 | 43.298 |
| AUSTRAL ONSHORE | 90 | 2.359 |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | 1644 | 82.840 |
| MALVINAS NORTE-SUR | 59 | 12.065 |
| SAN JULIAN | 79 | 5.325 |
| GOLFO SAN JORGE | 363 | 18.649 |
| RAWSON-VALDES | 191 | 20.990 |
| COLORADO | 309 | 24.733 |
| SALADO | 215 | 12.915 |
| PUNTA DEL ESTE | 15 | 1.553 |
| TOTAL | 3121 | 224.727 |
| | | |

Table A 2D lines and kilometers per basin



Seismic lines distribution map. Color code follows Table A





i. Input Data

1. Seismic Information

The input seismic information, a compendium of many decades of seismic acquisition, was varied in terms of types and quality, from poor quality (Fig. A) to high quality (Fig. B). The whole project was curated in such a way that very poor quality lines, poor quality lines overlapping newer, better versions, and lines with explicit wrong/doubtful coordinates were excluded.



Fig. A poor quality 2D line. From a remastered SEG-Y, not removed timelines hinder the background information. Seiscenter did its best to recover this kind of lines trough processing or vectorization when the original images were available in our Image Database (see pg. 8)



Fig. B High quality 2D line. Recent acquisition and processing





The following table attempts to systematize data types by some differentiation criteria, being each set of input data a combination of the different described criteria.

| CRITERION | ТҮРЕ | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | SEG-Y FROM PROCESSING | |
| DATA SOURCE | SEG-Y FROM SCAN | |
| | IMAGE FROM SCAN | |
| | COMPLETE LINE | |
| DATA INTEGRITY | SPLIT LINE | |
| | OFFSHORE | |
| ACQUISITION | ONSHORE | |
| | 2 MS | |
| VERTICAL SAMPLE INTERVAL | 4 MS | |
| | WHOLE SET OF TRACES | |
| TRACE DECIMATION | DECIMATED TRACES | |
| | STACK | |
| PROCESS TYPE | POST-STACK MIGRATION | |
| | PRE-STACK MIGRATION | |

Table B, List of criteria which helped to classify the seismic information

On the other hand, it is also possible to sort the seismic information by basins and acquisition surveys. While this kind of grouping does not guarantee homogeneity in the sense of Table B, it is useful to associate the year of the survey, its parameters and expected quality.

2. Coordinates

This has been a critical point, there was not for the project a single, reliable and unified base or reference to be used. There are two strong sources of uncertainty:

A. Related to the acquisition epoch:

- 1. From 1995 to the present, the most accurate data as it comes directly from modern positioning equipment available on board.
- 2. 1980 1995, is an intermediate period, where several surveys were acquired with somewhat outdated technology and others began to incorporate acceptable navigation systems.
- 3. 1965 1980, is the most erratic period for geodesic information. In general, isolated coordinates were settled through satellite positioning devices from which planimetries were generated following course and speed of the ship. Unfortunately, we must add errors involved in the digitizing process of the



original planimetry on paper and often such digitization is the only remaining source of coordinates

B. Related to the availability and quality of the information:

- 1. Navigation files in different formats, accuracy and projections
- 2. Digitizations, planimetries and shapefiles from diverse sources with little or no specification
- 3. Coordinates in SEG-Y headers with little or no specification about their geodesic system, projection and source

All this information from different backgrounds in terms of acquisition method, year, geodesic system, projection, accuracy, et cetera; is often non-consistent, incomplete or poorly specified, making it not reliable enough to be used without carrying out validations and intensive data crosschecking.

3. Shortcomings on remastered SEG-Ys and Seiscenter's Image Database from Films/Paper copies and Observer Reports

Seiscenter has made available to the project its database of more than 1800 rasterized images and 1400 observer reports. These images come from original observer reports and film/hard copies, standard outcomes from older processing sequences. Some films/hard copies have been lost/damaged themselves since then and the same has happened to the field data. These images and the occasional SEG-Y remastered files -with its shortcomings-are then the last remaining information for many surveys.

Checking the Image Database (Fig. D) has proved to be a resourceful way to address several problems:

A- Vectorization

A sizeable proportion of the available information comes through SEG-Y files from a rasterization / vectorization process of films or hard copies.

Some common shortcomings are:

- 1. Poor quality of the original film or paper copy (stained, worn out)
- 2. Deformations during the rasterization process (the film slides not uniformly)
- 3. Deformations during the imaging vectorization process (corners are not identified properly, resulting in a wrong number of traces)
- 4. Trace decimation during the imaging vectorization process
- 5. A very frequent faulty assignation of coordinates and/or SP or CDP numbers to SEG-Y headers



In this sense checking Seiscenter's Image Database can easily address points 3 to 5, otherwise much of the information would be dismissed or would remain with errors.

B- Migration

Many stacks were non-migrated ones, Seiscenter has tried to bring on migrated versions wherever possible. Migration needs an approximate velocity field, Seiscenter's Image Database is an irreplaceable source of velocity data provided that local velocities were posted on films or hard copies. In no way velocity data can be retrieved from digital SEG-Y formats.

C- Georeferencing / Merging

The Image Database was also used to resolve coordinate inconsistencies, to check stations intervals, shot numbering and crossing locations. Likewise, it helped the process of splicing arbitrary splits for many lines.



Fig. C Seiscsenter's Image Database, more than 1800 images with toplabel stacking velocities and sidelabel acquisition parameters





ii. Processing Sequence (post-stack)

| # | Task |
|----|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Classification |
| 2 | Merges |
| 3 | Multiple attenuation |
| 4 | Post Stack Deconvolution |
| 5 | Interpolation (H) |
| 6 | Interpolation (V) |
| 7 | Band Pass Filtering |
| 8 | FX Filtering |
| 9 | Cohere FK Filtering |
| 10 | Notch Filtering |
| 11 | Post-Stack Migration |
| 12 | Band Pass Filtering |
| 13 | FX Filtering |
| 14 | Spectral Balance |
| 15 | Mute |
| 16 | Coordinates: assessing and validation |
| 17 | SEG-Y Generation |
| 18 | Shape File Generation |

Table C, processing sequence

This sequence was not applied as a whole, modules were used according to specific requirements and data characteristics.



1. Classification



This early stage allows an appropriate first classification and to assess the application of tasks and modules:

| CRITERION | INPUT TYPE | | ASSOCIATED TASK # |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----|----------------------|
| | SEG-Y FROM PROCESSING | | |
| DATA SOURCE | SEG-Y FROM SCAN | 38% | NOTCH (10) |
| | IMAGE FROM SCAN | 2% | NOTCH (10) |
| | COMPLETE | 54% | |
| DATA INTEGRITY | SPLIT LINE | 46% | MERGE (2) |
| | OFFSHORE | | MULTIPLE REMOVAL (3) |
| ADQUISITION | ONSHORE | 1% | |
| | 2 MS | 1% | |
| VERTICAL SAMPLE INTERVAL | 4 MS | 99% | INTERPOLATION V (6) |
| | WHOLE SET OF TRACES | 42% | |
| TRACE DECIMATION | DECIMATED TRACES | 58% | INTERPOLATION H (5) |
| | STACK | 44% | MIGRATION (11) |
| PROCESS TYPE | POST-STACK MIGRATION | 45% | |
| | PRE-STACK MIGRATION | 11% | |

Table D, classification by criteria, input type and associated tasks

On the other hand, a sort by survey (Table F) allowed us to make a general classification by quality (Table E) and foresee a specific sequence for each survey.

| QUALITY | LINES | КМ | % |
|---------|-------|--------|------|
| | | | |
| BAD | 59 | 15468 | 7% |
| REGULAR | 1122 | 73420 | 33% |
| MEDIUM | 1324 | 72396 | 32% |
| GOOD | 454 | 36721 | 16% |
| HIGH | 162 | 26721 | 12% |
| TOTAL | 3121 | 224727 | 100% |

Table E, classification by quality



| BASIN | SURVEY | LINES | KM | INTER. SP | DIG. DATA | STATUS | QUALITY |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | WG | 24 | 8119 | MIX | SCAN | STK | BAD |
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | GSI | 12 | 3303 | 50 | SCAN | STK | BAD |
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | WARG92 | 23 | 5409 | 27 | SCAN-TIFF | MIG | REGULAR |
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | BGR87 | 4 | 1387 | 50 | SCAN-TIFF | STK | MEDIUM |
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | YMN-1995 | 11 | 1352 | 25 | PROC | STK | MEDIUM |
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | BGR98 | 38 | 6929 | 50 | PROC | MIG | GOOD |
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | SPAN-2008 | 21 | 10763 | 13 | PROC | MIG | HIGH |
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | COPLA | 23 | 6036 | 50 | PROC | MIG | HIGH |
| AUSTRAL ONSHORE | TIERRA DEL FUEGO | 61 | 1467 | MIX | PROC-SCAN | STK-MIG | MEDIUM |
| AUSTRAL ONSHORE | CHILE | 29 | 892 | MIX | PROC | MIG | MEDIUM |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | A81A-ESSO-1980 | 138 | 4670 | 15 | PROC-SCAN | STK-MIG | REGULAR |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | GSI-78 | 23 | 4047 | 50 | SCAN | STK | BAD |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | 80-1980 | 67 | 3242 | 25 | SCAN | STK | REGULAR |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | A80A-ESSO-1980 | 81 | 3001 | 25 | PROC-SCAN | STK-MIG | REGULAR |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | 82-1-1982 | 59 | 1669 | 13 | SCAN | STK | REGULAR |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | GSI-79 | 17 | 1079 | 50 | SCAN | STK | REGULAR |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | SHELL-1982 | 39 | 731 | MIX | SCAN | STK | REGULAR |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | 80-1-1980 | 20 | 488 | 25 | SCAN | STK | REGULAR |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | MULTIPLE | 9 | 519 | MIX | PROC-SCAN | STK | REGULAR |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | WESTERN-1991 | 233 | 13106 | 13 | PROC-SCAN | MIG | MEDIUM |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | MV-1990 | 98 | 6854 | MIX | PROC-SCAN | MIG | MEDIUM |
| | SHFLL-1979 | 150 | 8315 | 13 | PROC-SCAN | STK-MIG | MEDIUM |
| | 79-1-1979 | 144 | 4629 | MIX | PROC-SCAN | STK-MIG | MEDIUM |
| | WESTERN-1992 | 62 | 3568 | 13 | PROC-SCAN | MIG | MEDIUM |
| | 84-1-1984 | 169 | 2459 | 13 | SCAN | STK | MEDILIM |
| | 86-1-1986 | 105 | 2433 | 13 | SCAN | STK | MEDILIM |
| | 91-1-1991 | 11 | 210 | 13 | | STK-MIG | MEDILIM |
| | 84-2-1984 | 11 | 117 | 13 | SCAN | | MEDILIM |
| | 01-2-1001 | 1 | 18 | 13 | | STK-MIG | MEDILIM |
| | VCM_1008 | 111 | 10590 | 25 | | MIC | GOOD |
| | CAA35-1993 | 111 | 3230 | 25 | PROC | MIG | 6000 |
| | SW/AT-1997 | 31 | 3118 | 27 | PROC | MIG | 6000 |
| | CAA35-199/ | 38 | 2156 | 25 | PROC | MIG | 6000 |
| | CAA35-1998 | 42 | 1767 | 25 | PROC | MIG | GOOD |
| | 86-2-1986 | 2 | 31 | 13 | | STK | 6000 |
| | SD38-1998 | 27 | 2026 | 25 | | MIG | HIGH |
| | EVIK | 27 | 73/10 | 25 | PROC | MIG | REGULAR |
| | GELQ3 | 28 | /725 | 40 | PROC | MIG | MEDILIM |
| | 1991-BRA | 75 | 4608 | 27 | SCAN | MIG | REGULAR |
| | | /5 | 717 | MIX | SCAN | STK | REGULAR |
| | | 121 | 5102 | MIX | | STK-MIG | REGULAR |
| | D | 52 | 27/3 | 70 | SCAN | | REGULAR |
| | NS | 20 | 1/122 | MIX | SCAN | STK | |
| | FW/ | 20 | 1307 | MIX | SCAN | STK | REGULAR |
| | 20,1020 | 122 | 709/ | 25 | BROC | | |
| RAWSON-VALDES | MUITIPLE | 197 | 052/ | MIY | SCAN-TIFE | STK-WING | REGULAR |
| RAWSON-VALDES | | 10 | 1067 | 25 | SCAN | MIG | REGULAR |
| RAWSON-VALDES | AR7A | 40 | 10200 | 20 | SCAN | STK-MIC | MEDILIM |
| | | 93 111 | 115/0 | MIY | | | REGULAR |
| | Q1 | 24 | 1261 | 25 | | СТИ СТИ | REGULAR |
| | 96 | 24 | 7745 | 25 | | SIN СТИ | MEDIUM |
| | 50 SHC95C-1995 | 54 10 | 2445 | 25 | | MIC | GOOD |
| | 21/23/2-1332 | 40 | 00F | 20 25 | | | 6000 |
| | 95 VCC 1000 | 10 | 200 | 25 | PRUC | SIK | |
| | 100-1999 | 48 | 1702 | 20 | PROC | MIC | HIGH |
| | | 28 | 1/83 | 20 | | | RECULAR |
| | | /3 | 4402 | 100 | PROC-SCAN | | REGULAR |
| | US 1001 | 22 | 13/9 | 720 | PRUC | | MEGULAK |
| | 10K2-1991 | 40 | 2186 | 25 | PROC | STK-IVIIG | |
| | 93 VCC 1005 | 36 | 2826 | 25 | PROC | SIK | GOOD |
| | 103-1332 | 44 | 2122 | 20 | | MIC | |
| | | 2121 | 1003 | 25 | PRUC | NIIG | nion |
| TOTAL | | 5121 | 224/2/ | | | | |

Table F, classification by quality, basin and survey

12





2. Merge

A significant number of lines were split and given arbitrary names and SP/CDP numbering, probably as a legacy of many leasing reconfigurations; although, they were acquired and processed as a whole. We found from two up to six splits which give unnecessary complications to the interpretation, data loading and assessment tasks.

Wherever possible they were restored to their original status. Again, the Image Database came in particularly handy on this step.

3. Water-bottom multiple attenuation

Seiscenter has developed an algorithm for a quick attenuation of water-bottom related multiples which was applied whenever it was deemed necessary.



Fig. D Austral Basin, 1974, before (above) and after (below) multiple attenuation

13



4. Deconvolution



Deconvolution has here the purpose of overriding undesirable effects of some previous filtering and to flat the spectrum response, easing the recognition and resolution of events. It has been a useful step applied to older data and remastered SEG-Ys.

5. Horizontal Interpolation

Mild or strong trace decimation during the processing or imaging vectorization were a common place due to hardware limitations. After checking against the Image Database, the planned traces ratio was restored. This process has several advantages, allows a more intuitive assessment and data interpretation, reflects actual SP numbering and helps the migration process avoiding possible spatial aliasing.

6. FK filtering

Many FK spectrums showed spatial aliasing and related noises which were treated by a dedicated FK filtering (Fig. E).



Fig. E before (left) and after (right) FK filtering

7. Timelines attenuation

SEG-Y input data after the vectorization of images frequently has values associated to residual timelines mixed up with seismic events (Fig. A). Frequency Hi-Fi Notch filters were designed to address this problem or, if the original images were available, they were vectorized again and the timelines removed during the process.





8. Post-stack Migration

Unmigrated seismic sections were migrated (Fig. F) using local stacking velocities and parameters taken from the Seiscenter's Image Database (Fig. C).



Fig. F Colorado Basin, 1973, before (above) and after (below) migration

9. Final filtering – Spectral Balance – Mute

Finally, a general homogenization was performed by applying frequency filters, spectral balance and mute, as per a survey basis, especially on older surveys rendered by vectorized SEG-Ys.





10. Coordinates: calculation and validation

Beyond inaccuracies, coordinates description on SEG-Y headers (EBCDIC and traces) were very poor, non-standardized or absent. We devoted much effort to validate and calculate appropriate coordinates.

SEG-Y Trace Headers (common to the whole project)

| BYTES: 17-20 SP | (INTEGER) |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| BYTES: 21-24 CDP = M X SP | (INTEGER) |
| BYTES: 73-76 LATITUDE | (IBM FLOAT) |
| BYTES: 77-80 LONGITUDE | (IBM FLOAT) |

Regional – Argentina, Austral – Malvinas, Malvinas North – South, San Julián, Golfo San Jorge, Rawson – Valdés, Colorado y Salado

| BYTES: 81-84 CDP X UTM 21 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| BYTES: 85-88 CDP Y UTM 21 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |
| BYTES: 181-184 CDP X UTM 20 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |
| BYTES: 185-188 CDP Y UTM 20 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |

Austral Onshore

| BYTES: 81-84 CDP X UTM 19 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| BYTES: 85-88 CDP Y UTM 19 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |
| BYTES: 181-184 CDP X UTM 20 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |
| BYTES: 185-188 CDP Y UTM 20 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |

Punta del Este

| BYTES: 81-84 CDP X UTM 21 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| BYTES: 85-88 CDP Y UTM 21 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |
| BYTES: 181-184 CDP X UTM 22 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |
| BYTES: 185-188 CDP Y UTM 22 - WGS84 | (IBM FLOAT) |

The following routine procedures were used to validate coordinate values and avoid significant errors:

- 1. Controlling lines length and intervals between SP and receivers
- 2. Verification of the geometry against Seiscenter's Image Database
- 3. Use of seabed data and bathymetries available as a confirmation of the general positioning
- 4. Checking seismic events at crossing locations on the same survey

A final checking, using lines from other surveys, preferably those deemed as more reliable than the current one being checked (Fig. G)



Seiscenter's experience suggests that the procedure brings a robust approximation according to the available data, with the caveat of those coordinates coming from digitized base maps at small scales.



Fig. G Coordinates checking on Austral-Malvinas basin linking different surveys

11. Shapefiles

For each basin shapefiles were generated for all their coordinate systems (Fig. H and Fig. I),

- TOTAL_SP (every 20 SP)
- TOTAL_LINES
- FIRST_LAST_SP
- Regional REG_SP (every 20 SP)
- Regional REG_Lines
- Austral Onshore CAT_SP (every 20 SP)
- Austral Onshore CAT_Lines
- Austral-Malvinas CAM_SP (every 20 SP)
- Austral-Malvinas CAM_Lines
- Malvinas North-South CMNS_SP (every 20 SP)
- Malvinas North-South CMNS_Lines
- San Julián CSJ_SP (every 20 SP)
- San Julián CSJ_Lines
- Golfo San Jorge CGSJM_SP (every 20 SP)
- Golfo San Jorge CGSJM_Lines
- Rawson-Valdés CRVM_SP (every 20 SP)



- Rawson-Valdés CRVM_Lines
- Colorado CCM_SP (every 20 SP)
- Colorado CCM_Lines
- Salado CSM_SP (every 20 SP)
- Salado CSM_Lines
- Punta del Este CPDE_SP (every 20 SP)
- Punta del Este CPDE_Lines
- Country/States boundaries
- Cuenca Argentina Basin boundaries
- Cuenca Austral Basin boundaries
- Cuenca Malvinas Basin boundaries
- Cuenca Malvinas Norte Basin boundaries
- Cuenca Malvinas Oriental Basin boundaries
- Cuenca San Julian Basin boundaries
- Cuenca San Jorge Basin boundaries
- Cuenca Rawson Basin boundaries
- Cuenca Península Valdés Basin boundaries
- Cuenca Colorado Basin boundaries
- Cuenca Salado Basin boundaries



Fig. H Shapefiles map, by basin and regional lines (colors by basin -see Fig. J-)









Fig. I Shapefiles map, regional lines



Fig. J Shapefiles map, basins



Processing sequence overview

The quality of the outcomes relies upon the original quality. Many cases showed noticeable improvements, others were more moderate. Average behaviors are illustrated on Fig. K and Fig. L. Conversely, some original data were of high quality per se; hence, the applied processing sequence was kept minimal. The overall aim was to achieve homogeneity and the best possible quality while validating and standardizing coordinates and SEG-Y headers.



Fig. K Before (above) and after (below) processing sequence





Fig. L Before (above) and after (below) processing sequence

iii. Images and observer reports

As a miscellaneous appendix we added to the database more than 1800 hard copies images and 1400 observer reports. Most images correlate with SEG-Ys already compiled in this project, others are not included as SEG-Y because non-reliable coordinates or no coordinates at all, too poor quality, the lines overlap with others with better quality or the images were recently received. Nonetheless, this information is a complementary powerful tool for further QCing. If a set of images is of interest and its metadata is available, Seiscenter offers to vectorize them into SEG-Y format free of charge with any purchase involving the area where the images belong.





This data can be easily accessed and was inserted into the descriptor file which list the processed lines, relevant processing data and relevant acquisition data; there hyperlinks to the available images and observer reports can be found.

| BASIN | IMAGES | OBSERVER REPORT |
|--------------------|--------|-----------------|
| REGIONAL-ARGENTINA | 97 | 9 |
| AUSTRAL ONSHORE | 78 | |
| AUSTRAL-MALVINAS | 1389 | 1134 |
| MALVINAS NORTE-SUR | 1 | |
| SAN JULIAN | 1 | 34 |
| GOLFO SAN JORGE | 93 | 10 |
| RAWSON-VALDES | 54 | 117 |
| COLORADO | 68 | 31 |
| SALADO | 65 | 89 |
| PUNTA DEL ESTE | | |
| TOTAL | 1846 | 1424 |

Table G, hard copy images and observer reports



III. 3D SEISMIC



A total of 20 projects were georeferenced, totaling 14180 km2 of 3D seismic. SEG-Y information is available in ten of them -11257 km2-.

| SURVEY | BASIN | YEAR | OPERATOR | KM2 | SGY | PROCESS REPORT | MIGRATION |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------|---------------|------|-----|-------------------|------------|
| ARA / ARGO / ARIES | AUSTRAL | 1994 | TOTAL AUSTRAL | 502 | YES | YES | POST-STACK |
| CAM-1 Y CAM-3 - HELIX | AUSTRAL | 2004 | SIPETROL | 662 | YES | YES | PRE-STACK |
| CARINA - TAURO | AUSTRAL | 1996 | TOTAL AUSTRAL | 1867 | YES | YES | POST-STACK |
| FENIX_3D | AUSTRAL | 2012 | TOTAL AUSTRAL | 1367 | YES | YES | PRE-STACK |
| HIDRA KAUSS | AUSTRAL | 1995 | TOTAL AUSTRAL | 525 | YES | YES | POST-STACK |
| MAGALLANES | AUSTRAL | 1993 | SIPETROL | 187 | YES | YES | POST-STACK |
| VEGA PLEYADE | AUSTRAL | 1998 | TOTAL AUSTRAL | 645 | YES | YES | POST-STACK |
| ARA NORTE | AUSTRAL | 1998 | TOTAL AUSTRAL | 132 | NO | NO | |
| САВО | AUSTRAL | 0 | APACHE | 30 | NO | NO | |
| CAM-2A SUR | AUSTRAL | 1998 | SIPETROL | 211 | NO | NO | |
| FARO VIRNEGES | AUSTRAL | 1993 | PECOM | 86 | NO | NO | |
| LOBO | AUSTRAL | 0 | YPF | 68 | NO | NO | |
| LOBO (BAHIA SAN SEBASTIAN) | AUSTRAL | 0 | REPSOL-YPF | 56 | NO | NO | |
| SAN SEBASTIAN | AUSTRAL | 2001 | PAE | 76 | NO | NO | |
| CALAMAR | MALVINAS | 2015 | ENARSA | 1269 | YES | YES | PRE-STACK |
| MALVINAS | MALVINAS | 2006 | REPSOL-YPF | 2305 | YES | NO | PRE-STACK |
| COLORADO_3D | COLORADO | 2007 | REPSOL-YPF | 1928 | YES | NO | PRE-STACK |
| CGSJM1 3D | GOLFO SAN JORGE | 2005 | REPSOL-YPF | 311 | NO | NO | |
| CSJM I | GOLFO SAN JORGE | 2009 | PAE | 1654 | NO | NO | |
| MARTA | GOLFO SAN JORGE | 1998 | UNOCAL | 299 | NO | NO | |

Table H, 3D seismic

i. Input Data

1. Sort - Classification

As per our policy towards this project, 3Ds are of recent acquisition and processing, therefore we limited our tasks to sorting, compiling and accessibility checking. Some processing grids were recalculated to bring uniformity to the loading process, avoiding different references (corners, origin and angle).

3D seismic can be accessed through a single folder, its characteristics (name, basin, processing type, il-xl and bin coordinates header locations, length, SR, processing grid coordinates) are detailed and hyperlinks to SEG-Ys and Reports can be found.





2. Shapefiles

- 3D_TOTAL (General)
- 3D_DATA (Available 3D cubes)
- 3D_NODATA (Non-available 3D cubes)



Fig. M shapefile map 3D_DATA (green) y 3D NO_DATA (red)



IV. WELL DATA



A total of 496 locations were collected, 65% of which have reports and/or log information.

| | | OFFSHORE | | | | | ONSHORE | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|---|-----|---------------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|----------|-----|-----|----|--|
| BASIN | TOTAL BASIN | TOTAL BASIN OFFSHORE REPORT LOGS YES NO YES NO | | TOTAL BASIN ONSHORE | REP(YES | ORT NO | LO(YES | GS NO | | | | |
| AUSTRAL | 398 | 250 | 132 | 118 | 134 | 116 | 148 | 54 | 94 | 131 | 17 | |
| MALVINAS | 21 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| SAN JULIAN | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| GOLFO SAN JORGE | 37 | 31 | 23 | 8 | 26 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | |
| RAWSON-VALDES | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| COLORADO | 27 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | |
| SALADO | 10 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | |
| TOTAL | 496 | 328 | 189 | 139 | 199 | 129 | 168 | 54 | 114 | 131 | 37 | |

Table I, well information

i. Input Data

1. Sort – Classification

Well data come from a wide range of sources and time (1945-2015). The information was sorted, classified and georeferenced, it covers a variety of formats and quality (logs in las and images, reports in pdf and images). Contents were not modified and remain as they were originally configured but a fair portion of them were extensively analyzed and served as support of our Geological-Geophysical Report (see pg. 27)

All data can be accessed through a single file, which contains detailed and relevant information (location, basin, outcome, et cetera), from there hyperlinks guide to logs and reports for each well.





- 2. Shapefiles
- WELL_TOTAL (General)
- WELL_ON_DATA (onshore wells with well report and/or *las* files)
- WELL_ON_NODATA (onshore wells without attached information)
- WELL_OFF_DATA (offshore wells with well report and/or *las* files)
- WELL_OFF_NODATA (offshore wells without attached information)



Fig. N shapefile map, WELL_ON_DATA, WELL_OFF_DATA (green) and WELL_ON_NODATA, WELL_OFF_NODATA (yellow)





V. GEOLOGICAL-GEOPHYSICAL REPORT

The seismic and well data database was oriented and supported by a team of geologists and geophysicists, many areas were populated with improved seismic information not by a simple criterion of 'the more the better' but according to their strategic relevance in its geological context and contribution to a robust seismic interpretation.

An up-to-date, detailed report about petroleum systems, reservoirs, source rocks, traps, seals, main fault trends and known plays was consequently elaborated using state of the art software but basically through the insight and experience of a team of geoscientists. More than 140 pages regarding the Argentinian Offshore, basin by basin. Exploration history, well results, recommendations and remaining potential are also part of its contents.

| Hydrocarbon Potential of Argentine Offshore | |
|---|-------|
| Basins Argentina, Rio Salado, Colorado, Valde Rawson, Golfo San Jorge and San Julian | ŚS |
| Table of Content | |
| 1 Introduction | |
| 2 Argentine Basin | 1273 |
| 2.1 Introduction and Regional Context | 2023 |
| 2.2 Petroleum Systems | |
| 2.2.1 Reservoirs | |
| 2.2.2 Source Rocks | |
| 2.2.3 Seals | |
| 2.2.4 Traps | |
| 2.3 Producing Fields | |
| 2.4 Exploration History and Well Results | 2.22 |
| 2.5 Discussion | 1973 |
| 2.6 Remaining Exploration Potential | 902G |
| 2.7 Recommendation | 1000 |
| 3 Rio Salado Basin | |
| 3.1 Introduction and Regional Context | |
| 3.2 Petroleum Systems | |
| 3.2.1 Reservoirs | |
| 3.2.2 Source Rocks | |
| 3.2.3 Seals | |
| 3.2.4 Traps | |
| 3.3 Producing Fields | 2110 |
| 3.4 Exploration History and Well Results | 100 |
| 3.5 Discussion | 59255 |
| 3.6 Remaining Exploration Potential | |
| 3.7 Recommendation | |
| 4 Colorado Basin | |
| 4.1 Introduction and Regional Context | |
| 4.2 Petroleum Systems | |

| 4.2.1 Reservoirs |
|---|
| 4.2.2 Source Rocks |
| 4.2.3 Seals |
| 4.2.4 Traps |
| 4.3 Producing Fields |
| 4.4 Exploration History and Well Results |
| 4.5 Discussion |
| 4.6 Remaining Exploration Potential |
| 4.7 Recommendation |
| 5 Valdes / Rawson Basins |
| 5.1 Introduction and Regional Context |
| 5.2 Petroleum Systems |
| 5.2.1 Reservoirs |
| 5.2.2 Source Rocks |
| 5.2.3 Seals |
| 5.2.4 Traps |
| 5.3 Producing Fields |
| 5.4 Exploration History and Well Results. |
| 5.5 Discussion |
| 5.6 Remaining Exploration Potential |
| 5.7 Recommendation |
| 6 Golfo San Jorge Basin (offshore) |
| 6.1 Introduction and Regional Context |
| 6.2 Petroleum Systems |
| 6.2.1 Reservoirs |
| 6.2.2 Source Rocks |
| 6.2.3 Seals |
| 6.2.4 Traps |
| 6.3 Producing Fields |
| 6.4 Exploration History and Well Results. |
| 6.5 Discussion |
| 6.6 Remaining Exploration Potential |
| 6.7 Recommendation |
| 7 San Julian Basin |
| 7.1 Introduction and Regional Context |
| 7.2 Petroleum Systems |
| |



| 7.2.1 Reservoirs |
|--|
| 7.2.2 Source Rocks |
| 7.2.3 Seals |
| 7.2.4 Traps |
| 7.3 Producing Fields |
| 7.4 Exploration History and Well Results |
| 7.5 Discussion |
| 7.6 Remaining Exploration Potential |
| 7.7 Recommendation |

| Hydrocarbon Potential of Argentine Offshore. |
|--|
| Austral and Marvinas Dasins |
| Table of Content |
| 1 Introduction |
| 2 Austral – Malvinas Basins |
| 2.1 Introduction and Regional Context. |
| 2.1.1 Stratigraphy and Tectonism |
| 2.2 Petroleum Systems |
| 2.2.1 Reservoirs |
| 2.2.2 Source Rocks |
| 2.2.3 Seals |
| 2.2.4 Traps |
| 2.3 Exploration History and Well Results |
| 2.4 Discussion |
| 3. Remaining Exploration Potential |
| 4. Recommendation |
| 5. References |

Fig. O Geological-Geophysical Report. Tables of Content

Rather than describing all the processes and efforts devoted to this report, we prefer to provide some excerpts illustrating its level of discernment and analysis.







- Direct hydrocarbon indications reported from offshore Uruguay (well Lobo 1 & Gaviotin 1)
- Occurrence of comparable petroleum systems in the genetically related Orange Basin, Namibia, and Campos Basin, Brazil.



- Since there is no known source rock in the basin, the proposed petroleum system been charged from organic-rich, potential shale source rocks forming part of:
- Distal lacustrine successions within Lower Cretaceous syn-rift deposits
- Marine, Aptian and Maastrichtian to Paleocene successions within thermal sag deposits.

The hydrocarbons would reside in clastics reservoirs forming part of syn-rift and thermal sag deposits, in potential structural, stratigraphic and combined stratigraphic-structural traps. Seals would consist of:

Intra-formational syn-rift and thermal sag shales, which would form local seals
Marine, laterally extensive Cretaceous and Tertiary shales, which would form excellent regional seals.

These regional shales accumulated during the Maastrichtian-Paleocene transgression, and Tertiary passive-margin, transgressive-regressive depositional cycles. 3.2.1 Reservoirs

5.2.1 FREEHOUS There are no proven reservoir rocks in the Rio Salado Basin. However, syn-rift, sag, and the passive-margin sandstones are potential reservoirs in the Salado Basin.

The main potential reservoirs are Lower Cretaceous syn-rift, continental fluvial-alluvial sandstones and conglomerates of the Belgrano Fm. Also, Upper Cretaceous-Paleocene coastal, deltaic, and (at the top), marine sandstones of the Chilcas Fm are potential reservoirs.

Eccene, passive margin sandstones forming part of shoreline and fluvial-delta facies in the proximal part of the basin, and of mass-transport deposits, slope canyon and prograding wedges, and basin-floor submarine-fan systems, are also regarded as potential reservoirs.

| | | SALADO BASIN | | |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| ROCK STRAT | TIGRAPHIC UNITS | TIME STRATIGRAPHIC UNITS | TECTONIC STRATIGRAPHIC UNITS | |
| PIPINAS/E | NTRE RIOS Fm. | Pliocene | | |
| VALERIA | VPARANA Fm | Miocene | Passive Margin | |
| GENERAL PA | Z/LOS CARDOS Fm | Eocene / Ologocene | | |
| BASAMENTO | CHILCAS FM | Upper Cretaceous /Paleocene | Sag / Drift | |
| BELGRANO Fm | | Mid/Lower Cretaceous | Syn Rift | |
| BAS | AMENTO | Jurasic / Paleozoic | Pre-Rift / Rift | |

3.2.2 Source Rocks

No source rocks were penetrated in the wells drilled in the basin. Similarly, no source rocks were recognized, on samples from offibore wells Lobo 1 and Gaviotin 1, in the Punta del Este Sub-basin. Minor oil shows were reported in two wells candonce and some residual oil in an offibore well (Davidson, et all 2016) in the Salado Basin, however potential source rock is not mentioned. For the nearby Colorado Basin and comparable geological conditions, potential syn-rift source rocks are reported.

Since there is no known source rock in the basin, the potential source rocks could forming part of lacustrine successions within Lower Cretaceous syn-rift deposits and / or marine, Aptian/Maastrichtian to Paleocene successions within thermal sag deposits.

Aptian Waasmichtan to Paleocene successions within intermal sag deposits. As no discoveries exist in the basin, there are no proven migration pathways. Potential pathways are vertically along the main faults, and horizontally across faults and along carrier beds into the potential reservoirs. Main fault pathways are sparse to non-existent in the sag and passive-margin deposits, as most faults terminate upwards at the break-away unconformity separating syn-rift and thermal sag deposits.

In the 2000's, COPLA (Comisión Nacional del Limite Exterior de la Plataforma Continental Argentina) conducted a regional survey and acquired some 6,800 km of seismic, part of they in the Salado Basin.

in the Salado Basin. The other wells were drilled between 1969 and 1973, all were plugged and abandoned. Just minor gas shows was described in the well General Paz (total depth 3464 at lower Cretaceous). Since 1994 there was no exploration drilling activity, just little additional 2D seismic was acquired by YF (7000 km in 1994/5) and in 2008, 10500 km of 2D span seismic was acquired by GTX (Figure 3.5)

| WELL_NAME | ALT_WINAME | TCH_STAT | CONTENT | SPUD | OPERATOR | BASIN_NAME | ELEV_REF_M | TD_M | WATER_DEPT |
|-------------------|----------------|------------------------|--|------|----------|------------------|------------|---------|------------|
| Senborombon Sur 3 | 5.5.55-0 | Plugged & abandoned | Dry well | 1909 | SUN | Rio Salado Basin | 0.00 | 840.00 | 20.00 |
| A1 | RCUA-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Dry well | 1909 | UNICAL | Rio Salado Basin | 0.00 | 1698.00 | 80.00 |
| Donado 1 | RCADs-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Dry well | 1994 | AMOCO | Rio Salado Basin | 0.00 | 3139.00 | 77.00 |
| Samborombon B 1 | SUSBX-1 | Plugged & abendoned | Dry well | 1909 | UNICAL | Rio Galado Basin | 15.00 | 1639.00 | 80.00 |
| Sanborombon A-1A | SUSAX-1-A | Plugged & abandoned | Dry well | 1969 | UNDCAL | Ro Salado Basin | 0.00 | 1731 00 | 15.00 |
| Samar 1 | S S DK-1 | Jacked | Oil shows in Terevary / Upper Cretaceous | 1909 | SUN | Rio Salado Basin | 0.00 | 3245.00 | 245.00 |
| General Belgrano | S YPF GB +1 | Plugged & abandoned | Oil shows in lower Cretaceous | 1948 | YPF | Rio Salado Basin | 15.00 | 4012.00 | 0.00 |
| Pipines | S KERR P ± 1 | Plugged 8 abandoned | Dry set | 1968 | KERR | Rio Galado Basin | 2 00 | 1612 00 | 0.00 |
| Las Chicas | S Sig LCh X-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Dry well | 1909 | SIGNAL | Rio Salado Basin | 5.00 | 4081 00 | 0.00 |
| Los Cardos | S Sig LC X-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Dry well | 1970 | SIGNAL | Rio Salado Basin | 4.00 | 2959.00 | 0.00 |
| Valeria Del Mar | S Sun VM X-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Dry seel | 1971 | SUN | Rio Salado Basin | 17.00 | 3914.00 | 0.00 |
| General Paz | S.YPF.GP.3-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Ges Shows in Terciary and Upper Cretaceous | 1974 | YPF | Rio Salado Basin | 21.00 | 3964.00 | 0.00 |
| Lobo | PdE CHEV.L x-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Gas shows in Lower Cretaceous | 1976 | CHEVRON | Punta Dal Este | 0.00 | 2714.00 | 30.00 |
| Gawoon | PdE CHEV.G +1 | Plugged & abendoned | Hydrocarbon Indicators in Lower Cretaceous | 1976 | CHEVRON | Punta Dol Este | 0.00 | 3632.00 | 70.00 |

Figure 3.4: Table showing basic data of wells drilled in the Salado Basin

All the wells drilled in the basin were reported dry. The only indications for the presence of hydrocarbons were recorded in the Uruguayan wells (Lobo and Gaviotin), the Samar (oil shows, offihore) and the General Paz (minor gas, onshore).

navve, outsavely and use Otherka Faz (minor gas, Otherke). The main phase of hydrocarbon exploration in the Roi Salado Basin was from 1969 to 1973, when most of the 13 exploration wells in the basin were drilled. In 1989 licenses were awarded again and exploration activities resumed. These activities ceased a significative pointive residual Bougues anomaly after the drilling of another dry well by Anoce, Dorado 1. Since then there was no more drilling in the basin. A new license round, including the deep-water area of the Rio Salado Basin has been announced by the Argentinian government for 2018/2019.

3.5 Discussion

The information publicly available about the Salado Basin is very sparse, which renders the assessment of remaining prospectivity and exploration potential difficult. Negative results of thirteen exploration wells drilled in the basin, and the long period of inactivity don't constitute an encouragement for future engagement in exploration activities. However, the basin is considered under explored, and most of the wells were drilled 40-50 years ago, on data bases not necessarily adequate for conclusive exploration in a complex subsurface setting.



Exploration in the basin is considered to be of moderate to high risk. One of the major exploration risks in all prospective plays is the uncertainty of source rock occurrence, as there is no known source in the basin. The source risk, however, decreases towards the (deeper) off-shore, which has no tbeen explored (residual oil reported in offshore well Samar 1). Efficient seals, effective reservoirs and, in particular, the presence of economically viable traps have not been proven. These risks probably also decreases with increasing distance from the shore and increasing marine depositional influence (Figure 3.6).

The wells drilled are located onshore or on the continental shelf in shallow waters, the deepwater part of the basin has not been drilled. Depositional setting in this area is different, and analogies to other deep-water basins of the south Atlantic margins may upgrade this area, and the transition zone into the Argentine Basin, in terms of prospectivity.



The genesis of the Salado Basin is close related with the Colorado Basin, both related with the opening of the South Atlantic Ocean in the Late Jurassic – Early Cretaceous. They are orientated in a NW-SE direction and separated by the Tandil High (Figure 3.7). Then the exploration potential of these two basin should be equivalent

3.6 Remaining Exploration Potential







The Colorado Fm was deposited in fluvial, lacustrine and shallow marine environments during the thermal sag tage. It is subdivided into the upper, middle, lower and basal informal units. Marine and lacustrine shalles are potential source rocks in the basani, especially those in the basal unit. Virimite reflectance from the Colorado Fm. has a Ro of 0.7% at a depth of 2,800 m. The sporte color index (SCI) ranges from 2.5 to 3.0, determined in wells Puelche 1 and Ranquel 1 (Figure 4.5).

ann xanquei 1 (Figure 4.3). Bathyal shales and mudstones of the Pedro Luro Fm are not thermally mature. In well Corona Austral 1, TOC contents of up to 1.35% indicate some source rock potential, but these shales could become incher in kerzogen in the basity's depocenters. The formation consists predominantly of deep marine shales and mudstones. However, these shales will not be thermally mature. Determinations of SCI struck values of 1.5 to 2.0 Gas chromatography in well Corona Austral 1 shows a maximum of 45,978 ppm (Cl 90% and C2-C3 10%). Preliminary results from 3D petroleum systems model indicate that although syn-rift and early Cretaceous source rock intervals may be depleted in the central areas of the basin, an active kicholen from the Apias SR may be present below the slope areas. Hydrocarbon migration path-ways predicted by the 3D model (hybrid method) coincide with the interpreted seismic climaneys underlying the observed seabed slope pockmarks. Hence, the seasins indicate that thermogening gas may be currently generated in the distal alope of the basin from mature early post-fit source rocks within the Early Cretaceous (Apitan) sequences and nigrates vertically, due to sell altiture, through the stratingraphic column (Anka et al. 2014). This migrating thermogenic gas is feeding the seafloor pock-marks and gas along strati-graphic layers to the more proximal slope areas cannot be ruled out (Figure 4.6).



Figure 4.6: Gas chimneys and others seismic direct hydrocarbon indicators are frequents in the seismic data Some gas chimneys are clearly artifacts (in this case relate with submarine canyons), but others are more encorauge DHIs since the feasive ending against a storog reflector

4.2.3 Seals

4.2. Jointal Seals have been recognized throughout the stratigraphic column in the Colorado Basin. The basal shale and/or evaporate succession in the Colorado Fam. is a good seal cradidate Shales in the Pedo Luco Formation (Paleccene) were deposited during a regional transgression, serving as important regional seal rock. In addition, the lower section of the passive margin sequence of the Elvira Formation (Eccene) might serve as local and/or regional seal.

The Hendidura Fm. was deposed in fluvial, lacustrine, deltaic, and marine environments, of which the fine-grained shale and mudstone deposits are potential local intraformational seals. The Fortin Fm was deposited during the syn-rift stage, and the interbedded shales and mudstones are potential local seals and those in the upper section of the Fortin Fm may provide an effective regional top seal.

| WELL_NAME | ALT_WNAM | E TCH_S | TAT CONTE | NT | SPUD | OPERATOR | ERATOR BASIN_NAM | | ELEV_REF_M | TD_M |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|---------|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|
| Oribucta 1 | RC YPT 0 x-1 | Plugg aband | od & Dry se | d. | 1948 | YPF | Colorado Basin (orishore) | | | 1836.00 |
| Pedro Luro 1 | RC YPF PL x- | Plugg aband | ed & Dry we | 14 | 1946 | YPF | Colora | do Besin (hore) | | 3278.00 |
| Los Gauchos 1 | RC YPF LG + | Plugg aband | oned Dry we | ¢. | 1961 | YPF | Colora (001 | do Basin abore) | | 2001 00 |
| Le Blanquede 1 | RC.YPF LB x-1 | Plugg aband | oned Dry we | a) - | 1950 | YPF | Colorado Basin (onshore) | | | 944.00 |
| Oyola 1 | RC YPF OY I- | Plugg 1 aband | oned Dry se | al . | 1960 | YPF | Colorado Basin (poshere) | | | 861.00 |
| EMra 1 | RC YPF E x-1 | Plugg aband | oned Dry se | st | 1960 | YPF | Colora (ont | do Basin shore) | | 953.00 |
| Figure 4.9: C | inshore wells | on Color | ado Basin | | | | | | | |
| WELL_NAME | ALT_WNAME | TCH_STAT | CONTENT | SPUD | OPERAT | OR BAS | N | ILEV_REP | M TD_M | WATER_D |
| Cruz del Sur 0001 | RC U CdS x-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Non conmercial OI | 1994 | UN TEX | AS Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 4288.00 | 0.00 |
| Corone Austral 1 | RC.U.CA.s-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Minor Oil Shows | 1995 | UN TEX | AS Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 3724.00 | 308.00 |
| Estrella 1 | RC U E x-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Dry well | 1995 | UN TEX | AS Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 3545.00 | 0.00 |
| 88-1-8x 1 | RC.P 88-1-8X-1 | Plugged & | Dry well | 1970 | PHILLIP | *S Colorado | Basin | 15.00 | 2965.00 | 150.00 |
| 88-1-Cx 1 | RC P.88-I-CX-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Dry wal | 1970 | PHILLIP | PS Colorado | Dasin | 0.00 | 1918.00 | 50 00 |
| 88-1-Dx 1 | RC P 88-3-DX-1 | Plugged & abendoned | Dry well | 1970 | PHILUP | PS Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 1196.00 | 50.00 |
| 08-1-Ex 1 | RC P.86-I-EX-1 | Plugged & abandoned | Dry well | 1970 | PHILLIP | S Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 1340.00 | 50.00 |
| 88-i-Fx 1 | RC.P.BB-NEX-1 | Plugged & | Dry well | 1970 | PHILLIP | PS Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 980.00 | 35.00 |
| 88-1-Gx 1 | RC P BB I GX 1 | abandoned | Dry well | 1970 | PHILLIP | PS Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 1615.00 | 35.00 |
| 88-14% 1 | RC P BB-I-HX-1 | sbandoned. | Dry well | 1970 | PHILLIP | *S Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 3240.00 | 35.00 |
| Delfin 1 | RC H ED + 1 | abandoned | Dry well | 1970 | HUNT P | NT Colorado | Basin | 15.00 | 2514.00 | \$15.00 |
| El Pinguino 1 | RC H EP I-T | abandoned | Dry well | 1970 | HUNT P | NT Colorado | Base | 0.00 | 2268.00 | 80.00 |
| a Balona 1 | RC H LB x-1 | abandoned | Dry well | 1970 | HUNT B | NT Colorado | Basin | 15.00 | 4403.00 | 115.00 |
| 08-81-Ax 1 | RC.P.BB-81-AX-1 | abandoned | Dry well | 1969 | PHILLIF | PS Colorado | Besin | 0.00 | 4026.00 | 35.00 |
| Pueiche 1 | RC YPF PU ES-1 | ehandoned | Dry well | 1977 | YPF | Colorado | Basin | 32.00 | 4063.00 | 262.00 |
| BB-8-6x 1 | RC.P.BB-H0X-1 | abandoned. | Dry well | 1970 | PHILIP | 75 Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 858.00 | 20.00 |
| Ranguel 1 | RC YPF RA ES-1 | abandoned | Dry well | 1977 | YPF | Colorado | Basin | 30.00 | 4406.00 | 312.00 |
| Pelemery 1 | RC.Sh.Pe.x-1 | sbandoned | Minor Oil Shows | 1997 | SHELL | AR Colorado | Basin | 0.00 | 3002.00 | 289.00 |

The offshore exploration activity began in the 1960's with the 2D seismic acquisition. Philips and YPF was active at this time and they drilled the Bahia Blanca wells (total of nine well dry in shallow waters)

In the early 1970's Hunt acquired more 2D seismic and drilled three additional dry wells also in shallow waters.

In 1977 after a new 2D seismic acquisition, YPF drills the wells Puelche x-1 and Ranquel x-1 at deeper waters to test seismic anomalies in the Tertiary column. The anomaly turned out to be an intrusion, no source rock penetrated although gas rereading was informed in Colorado Fm.

In the 1990's Union Texas and Perez Companc acquires acquired 7000 km of 2D seismic in the eastern flank of the basin and drilled three wells (Cruz Del Sur, Corona Austral and Estrella). The Cruz Del Sur well tested 39° API oil in a DST. Also a good quality source rock was penetrated

In late 1990's Shell acquired 9000 km of 2D seismic and drilled the dry well Pejerrey-1. In mid-2000's, 2000 km2 of 3D seismic was acquired in block E-1 by YPF-Petrobras consortium but no well was drilled. In the block E-3 7500 km2 of gravimetric and magnetic data was acquired. Since then no additional exploration activities was reported (Figure 4.10). All exploration effort in the Colorado Basin was concentrated in the western part of the basin. Eastern part of Colorado basin is considered frontier exploration area with no exploration drilling until now.

4.5 Discussion

To date no commercial discoveries have been made in the Colorado Basin. However, the generation of hydrocarbons and the ingredients for working petroleum systems have been proven by the wells drilled in the basin. They occur in stratigraphic intervals from Paleozoic to Tertiary and developed during different stages of basin development.

Source rocks were drilled in pre-rift, syn-rift and post-rift sequences. They are of very variable quality but have overall potential of feeding a petroleum system. There is no information about how much hydrocarbons could have been fed into the system by the different potential source rock sequences.

Shows and recovery of hydrocarbons in well proved maturity, generation and expulsion of hydrocarbons, and 3D petroleum systems models indicate hydrocarbon generation from different source intervals. At present, these potential source rocks are at an oil window at the shelf and in a gas window at the slope of the basin.

shelf and in a gas vindow at the slope of the basin. Potential seals have been recognized throughout the stratigraphic column in the Colorado Basin. Potential intra-formational local seals include flood plan, deltaic, and marine shales and mud-stones in the pre-rift (Hendifura Fm.), syn-rift (Fortin Fm.) and post-rift (Colorado Fm.) The basal shale and/or evaporate succession in the Colorado Fm. is a god, potentially regional, seal. Shales in the Pedro Luro Formation were deposited during a regional transgression, serving as important regional seal rocks. In addition, the lower section of the passive margin sequence of the Elvira Formation might serve as local and/or regional seal.

pease magin sequence of the Ervis Formation mignt serve as local mile of regional teal. Well and estimatic data and stratigraphic modeling identified potential reservoir rocks. These potential sandstone reservoirs were deposited in fluvial, deltaic, and shallow marine environments. The shallow marine sandstones of the Colorado Formation have good reservoir qualities and represent prime reservoir targets, in particular in the eastern part of the basin. Diagenesis and volcencics have a ningenct on reservoir quality throughout the basin, pre-dominantly on the deeper buried syn-rift deposits.

pre-dominantly on the deeper bursed syn-rift deposits. Structures in the Colorado Basin developed during the pre-rift, syn-rift, and post-rift tectonic phases. The dominant structural styles developed during the syn-rift phase from Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous. Both extensional and compressional / transpressional structures were formed primarily during the pre-rift and syn-rift phases, with partial reactivation of existing fulls and structures. The syn-rift / younger sediments are less affected by flaulting, both number and throw of faults decrease. There are no detailed structure maps or prospect maps available which may indicate structure size spottential hydrocarbon volumes. Stratigraphic traps are probably existing at all stratigraphic levels. The quantification of stratigraphic

Fig. P Geological-Geophysical Report, random excerpts



VI. DATA STRUCTURE



| \OFF | SHORE_ARGENTINA-2020 | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Q | Argentina-Offshore-2020.mxd | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 101-REPORTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | IREPORT_Offshore_Argentina-2020.pdf | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 102-SHAPEFILES_DATA_BASE | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 13D | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ARGENTINA | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LINES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | I ISP | | | | | | | | | | |
| | VWELLS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 103-SEISMIC-2D | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | ISUMMARY_2D-SEISMIC_AVAILABLE.xisx | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 🧵 v01-REG | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 102-CAT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 103-CAM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 104-CMNS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 105-CSJ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 106-CGSJM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 107-CRVM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 108-CCM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 📜 (09-CSM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-CPDE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | IMAGES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | VOBSERVER REPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 104-SEISMIC-3D | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (SUMMARY_3D-SEISMIC_TOTAL.xisx) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 📜 KArgentina | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 📜 KAustralMarina – sgy, zgy and pdf files | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 📜 Malvinas | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 105-WELLS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4) SUMMARY_WELL_TOTAL.xisx | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 102_03_04-CAT_CAM_CMNS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 📜 405-CSJ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 106-CGSJ Las and odf files | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 107-CRVM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 📜 408-CCM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 📜 109-CSM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 106-GEOLOGICAL-GEOPHYSICAL_REPORT _ pdf files | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |